Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.





CIRCULAR No. 109

MARCH, 1930

Corrected ed.follows

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

PARASITISM OF THE MEDITERRANEAN FRUIT FLY IN HAWAII 1922–1924

By H. F. Willard, Senior Entomologist, in Charge of Hawaiian Inspections, Plant Quarantine and Control Administration, and T. L. Bissell, Assistant Entomologist, Division of Deciduous Fruit Insects, Bureau of Entomology ¹

CONTENTS

Page	Page
Introduction 1 Records of infestation 2 Parasitism records 4	Summary 11 Literature cited 12

INTRODUCTION

When the Mediterranean fruit fly (Ceratitis capitata Wiedemann) arrived in Hawaii, where it was discovered in 1910, it found itself in an almost ideal environment. Owing to a favorable climate, an abundance and variety of host fruits, and a lack of natural enemies it spread rapidly. The temperature range in littoral Hawaii is such that this fly can reproduce rapidly during the whole year. been shown by Back and Pemberton (3, p. 75)2 that in the lower elevations of the islands 16 generations may be produced annually. There have been recorded in Hawaii 73 different varieties of fruits and other plants in which the Mediterranean fruit fly may reproduce, and at all times during the year some of these varieties are in bearing and in the proper condition for infestation. The species of insect enemies in Hawaii that attacked it when it arrived were so few and ineffective that they had very little influence in checking its spread; consequently, within a short time after it was discovered there it had become established upon all the larger islands of the Hawaiian group.

The use of introduced insect parasites has been found a more promising control measure under the peculiar horticultural conditions of Hawaii (where the majority of host fruits are either inedible or not worth the cost of spraying) than are the artificial control methods of spraying and the destruction of infested fruits employed in commercial fruit-growing areas in certain other parts of the world. In 1913 and 1914 the government of the Territory of Hawaii introduced and established four species of parasites which attack the larval stage of the fly. Three of the species were braconids and one a chalcid. Two braconids, *Opius humilis* Silvestri and *Diachasma fullawayi* Silvestri, and the chalcid *Tetrastichus giffardianus* Silvestri were imported from South Africa, and the braconid *Diachasma tryoni* Cameron was intro-

¹ At the time of the collecting of the information contained in this circular Mr. Willard was entomologist and Mr. Bissell was plant quarantine inspector, both in the Division of Tropical, Subtropical, and Ornamental Plant Insects, Bureau of Entomology.

duced from Australia. Other parasites were introduced, but the four mentioned were the only ones that became established. uniform climate of Hawaii and the constant abundance of host maggots resulted in a rapid dispersal of these parasites, and within three years after liberation they were firmly established on the main islands of the Territory and were parasitizing between 30 and 50 per

cent of the fruit-fly larvae.

Since these parasites became established the Bureau of Entomology of the United States Department of Agriculture has compiled a comprehensive series of records which show the amount of parasitism accomplished by each species and the degree of infestation of host fruits by the fly. These records have been obtained by making almost daily collections of host fruits about the city of Honolulu and securing from them the maggots as they emerged. These maggots were held in rearing jars and the numbers of emerging flies and parasites carefully recorded. In this manner the effectiveness of each species of parasite has been determined over monthly and yearly periods since 1914 for the various host fruits. The results of these observations up to and including 1921 have already been published (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10).

During the first few years after the parasites were liberated in Hawaii yearly reports of their activities were of much interest. that period there was considerable yearly variation in the effectiveness of each species and in the total parasitism by all species. Consequently, during the years from 1915 to 1921, inclusive, these records for each year were published separately, excepting those for 1919 and 1920 which were published together in one paper (9). The records for the last five years of this period, however, show that the work of the parasites has become more or less stabilized and that there is much less variation over yearly periods. Consequently, much of the necessity for yearly reports has disappeared, and a 3-year reporting period has been adopted and put into effect in this circular. It is believed that the reader can get a more thorough and comprehensive idea of the relationship and actual work of these parasites if the information for the period is included in one report instead of three.

RECORDS OF INFESTATION

In connection with the recording of parasitism, an attempt has been made to note any increase or decrease in abundance of Ceratitis capitata in the field. In making this attempt the following hypothesis was adopted: An increase or decrease in the number of maggots in infested fruits will indicate that there has been an increase or decrease in the number of adult flies. As all fruits collected for records of parasitism were held in the insectary long enough to allow all maggots to emerge, and as records of the numbers of all fruits and of the maggots emerging from them were kept, the average infestation per fruit for each species of host can be computed from these records. Tables 1 and 2 give the results of these observations and computations.

Table 1.—Records of infestation of host fruits by larvæ of the Mediterranean fruit fly in Hawaii during the years 1922, 1923, and 1924

						nu	mbe	r of per	Average number of larvae per fruit,
1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1916-1924, inclusive
3, 198	2, 313 21, 515	3, 189 20, 647	18, 362	23, 923 1, 270	21, 923 6, 302	5.7	10.3	6.9	5.9
2, 036 6, 453	3, 813 5, 510	2, 494 12, 980	21, 795 6, 598 6, 056	4, 613 5, 202	3, 059 16, 897	1.6 3.2 .9	1. 2	1. 2	1. 5 6. 0 1. 2
1,628	107 161 135	1,897	5, 870 3, 949	343 364 11	22, 369	3. 6 12. 3	3. 2 2. 3 . 1	11.8	3.3 9.9 3.7 .6
1, 265 170 42, 195 4, 706	5, 150 31, 731 3, 494	422 26, 750 1, 002	4, 548 57 119, 816 53, 762	26, 493 66, 049 25, 193	58, 812 5, 502	3. 6 . 3 2. 8 11. 4	5. 1 2. 1 7. 2	1. 9 2. 2 5. 5	4. 0 2. 4 2. 4 8. 2
167	161 18		315	203 422		2. 5	1. 3 23. 4		3. 7 3. 5 18. 4 5. 9
		149			1			6.9	6.9
	1922 27, 772 3, 198 13, 318 2, 036 6, 453 321 -1, 265 4, 708 1, 728 4, 708 1, 728 1, 069 167	1922 1923 27, 772 17, 505 3, 198 2, 313	27, 772 17, 505 20, 408 3, 198 2, 313 3, 189 2, 21, 515 20, 647 13, 318 3, 813 2, 494 2, 036 4, 653 5, 510 12, 980 1, 628	1922 1923 1924 1922	1922 1923 1924 1922 1923	1922 1923 1924 1922 1923 1924	Number of fruits Number of larvae nu lar	Number of fruits Number of larvae larvae larvae fruit	1922 1923 1924 1922 1923 1924 1922 1923 1924

Table 2.—Average number of larvae of the Mediterranean fruit fly per fruit examined in Hawaii for each year of the period 1916 to 1924, inclusive, and for the period as a whole

			Avera	ge nun	ber of	larvae	per fr	iit in-	-	
Host fruit	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	Over 9-year period 1
Indian almond	9.5	8.0	9.9	8.4	5. 5	10.5	7.0	7.7	4.9	7.9
Mango	1.7	8.1	24. 4	3.7	3, 5	3.9	5. 7	10.3	6. 9	5, 9
Coffee	. 5	.8	. 6	. 4	. 6	.4		.1	.3	.4
Strawberry guava	1.6	2.0	1.3	1.2	1.2	3.4	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.5
Black myrobalan	7.0	5. 9	4.8	4.7	6.9	7.0	3, 2			6.0
French cherry	. 8	1.0	1.0	. 9	1.4	1.9	. 9	.9	1.3	1. 2
Satin leaf		3.4	3. 2	5.0	4.7		3.6		2,0	3. 3
Rose apple	5, 5	8.8	6.6		11.5		12.3	3. 2	11.8	9, 9
West Indian medlar	5. 3	1.8	2. 5		. 4	6, 6		2. 3		3. 7
Sapodilla		4.7				8.0				7. 0
Carambola	1.3	1.6	. 9	. 1	. 2	1.0		.1	. 1	. 6
Yellow oleander	3.6	5. 7	6.0	1.7	1.9		3, 6	5. 1	1.9	4.0
Loquat		2.6	1.8	3, 4		4.5	. 3			2.4
Chinese orange	3.1	1.8	1.8	2, 5	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.1	2. 2	2.4
Guava	6.8	4.5	8, 5	9.8	7.2	6.7	11.4	7. 2	5, 5	8.2
Orange.				3.3	6.0	2. 2	2, 5	3, 5	1.9	3. 0
Tangerine					. 9	3.1	4.3	. 7	. 6	1. 5
Lime					1.2		1.1	. 3	. 2	• .6
Noronhia emarginata	1.7		1.1		.1	1.8	1.5			1.6
Ochrosia elliptica	3.1	23. 5				1.5	.0			3. 7
Kamani	3.3	2.4	2.4	6.0	4.9			1.3		3. 5
Peach	20. 5	15. 2	22.4	13.6	15. 6			23.4		18.4
White sapote		7.6					2. 5			5, 9
Star-apple									.0	. 0
Waiawi					. 6				.0	. 5
Terminalia spp									6, 9	6. 9
Grapefruit									. 3	.3
Fig									5, 1	5, 1
Brazilian plum (Eugenia braziliensis)	. 8									. 8

¹ Weighted averages.

The records in Table 1 show for each species of host fruit the number of fruits under observation, the number of maggots emerging, and the average infestation per fruit for each year of the 3-year period under consideration. The average infestation per fruit for the 9-year period, 1916–1924, inclusive, is given to show how these yearly records compare with the average for the nine years. By referring to Table 2 it will be noted that some fruits were collected only occasionally during the 9-year period. On the basis of comparisons only with those fruits upon which records were secured during five or more of the nine years, Table 1 shows that during 1922 the average infestation per fruit in 42.9 per cent of the species collected was greater than the average for the 9-year period. In 1923 this average was greater in 26.7 per cent of the species of host fruits, and in 1924 it was greater in 25 per cent of the host fruits. The percentage of host fruits having a higher infestation than the average has been well under 50 per cent during each of the three years of this period and has shown a decrease each year, indicating an actual decrease in infestation and in the number of adult flies.

Table 2, showing the average infestation per fruit for each species of host during each year and for the 9-year period, 1916–1924, inclusive, is given to indicate the fluctuation of infestation from year to year. On the same basis as that outlined in the preceding paragraph, this table shows that the percentage of fruits having a higher average number of larvae per fruit in any yearly period than the average for the 9-year period is as follows: 1916, 56.2 per cent; 1917, 50 per cent; 1918, 41.2 per cent; 1919, 46.7 per cent; 1920, 38.9 per cent; 1921, 71.4 per cent; 1922, 42.9 per cent; 1923, 26.7 per cent; 1924, 25 per cent. These records show that during three years, 1916, 1917, and 1921, the infestation was above the average in 50 per cent or more of the fruits under observation. During the other six years less than 50 per cent of the host fruits showed infestation above the average, and in 1924 the percentage of 25 indicates that the infestation during that year was lower than for any other year covered by the table.

PARASITISM RECORDS

Owing to the oviposition habits of the parasites of the Mediterranean fruit fly now established in Hawaii, the effectiveness of these enemies in controlling the fly is governed to a large extent by the nature of the fruits in which the host maggots are developing. three opiine parasites, Opius humilis, Diachasma tryoni, and Diachasma fullawayi deposit their eggs within the host larva by piercing the skin of the fruit and the integument of the larva with the ovi-The host maggot must therefore be near the surface of the fruit in order to be within reach of the parasite. Consequently, in fleshy fruits which afford an opportunity for maggots to burrow some distance beneath the skin parasitism is low, whereas in small fruits and those containing a large seed covered by thin pulp, where the maggots are necessarily always near the outer surface of the fruit, Tetrastichus giffardianus ovipesits directly into parasitism is high. the host maggot and must come directly in contact with the maggot before oviposition is possible. In order to reach host maggots within a fruit, it must be able to enter through some break in the skin. Fruits with tough skins which do not break readily when they fall

from the trees usually have a very low parasitism by T. giffardianus. Fleshy fruits with comparatively thin skins which are broken easily

offer a much better opportunity for the parasite to work.

The data in Table 3 show the effectiveness of each species of parasite in each host fruit under observation for the second to sixth day of each month stated. Indian almond is a good example of a fruit containing a large seed surrounded by thin pulp. The opinne parasites were much more effective than T. giffardianus during all periods for which records were kept for the 3-year period with the exception of January, 1922, and the last five months in 1923. During those five months large numbers of this fruit were collected within an area of about four city blocks, and owing to some undetermined cause T. giffardianus was able to parasitize an unusually large number of the developing maggots.

Table 3.—Percentage of parasitism of larvae of the Mediterranean fruit fly in Hawaii in 1922, 1923, and 1924, for each host fruit for the

		Numb	Number of larvae	larvae					Per	entag	Percentage of parasitism by—	asitisn	- pa-						
Host fruit	Month	secol day	emerging d second to day	during o sixth	Opi	Opius humilis	nilis	Ω̈́	Diachasma tryoni	. ja	Ωğ	Diachasma fullawayi	e	Tetr	Tetrastichus giffardianus	SI		Total	
		1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924
Indian almond	January February March April May	104 104 95 6,468	482 25 444 2,646 296	1, 832 3, 289 3, 351 478	23.1 14.7 37.7 15.3	2.1 4.1 12.5	19.0 37.2 33.4 55.8 22.0	3.5.8 2.8.8 2.8.8 2.8.8 2.6.0	32.8 12.6 13.9 41.6	23. 6 11. 0 25. 3 1. 7	1.9		0.1	30.8 1.0 2.1 8.7	9.3	1.19991	36. 5 25. 1 20. 0 75. 2	44.2 14.0 19.3 54.6	43.7 52.2 62.7 77.1
	June July August September October November	2, 442 124 6, 424 7, 793 2, 690	753 7, 436 6, 519 2, 968 1, 202	1, 340 3, 623 1, 988 2, 067 173	.49.9.1 0 0 0 1 2	3.0 6.8 6.0 24.8	6.4 6.4 19.1 22.9 22.0	20.5 24.9 61.1 43.8	3.2 7.7 2.8 30.1	61.3 27.6 26.9 26.8 12.7	1.7.1.0	0.00	4.1	.447.008	21.6 53.0 64.3 16.7	2.1 10.9 6.6 6.6	26.34.25.33.25.33.25.33.25.33.25.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33.33	3.3 39.5 67.8 71.8	65.1 36.2 56.9 56.3
Mango	December March April May June	1, 471 	=	184 31 270 874 473	10.6	6.3	7.6 12.7 12.4 12.2 12.2	6.1	23. 6 13. 0 14. 3	5.9	5.0	1.0		100001	4 8.0.0	1 1 1		221.9	19.7 19.7 19.7 10.7
Coffee	JulyAugust August February October	102	250 104 144 196	145	2.9	6.2	2.8	16.0	9.2 5.8 43.1 32.1	7.8	6.3	1.2	48,4	1 1 1				87.2 68.1 82.1	15. 2 45. 0 74. 6
Strawberry guava.	December February March April May	401 333 58	1 1 1	2, 856 58 150	18.7 27.0 13.8	3.1	22.7	17.2 30.6 25.9	38.9	17.9	3.3	2.6	16.0	10.5	15.0	1.3	64.8	15.0	13.8
	June July August September	2,856 - 220 1,182	1117	106	1.3		20.8	49.3 21.4 23.9	54.5	21.7	8.2 31.4 19.1		1.9	1 200	9.1	7.5		63.6	51.9
Black myrobalan	August	1,776			1.2			2.0			5.4			6.9			10.3		

PARASITISM OF MEDITERRANEAN FRUIT FLY IN HAWAII
75.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2
22.22 1.1.1.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.
44,56,50,50,50,50,50,50,50,50,50,50,50,50,50,
7. 7. 4. 6. 11. 1. 6. 6. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12
2000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00
8.6.0. 1 0.8.1 1 0.8.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.
1908 60 4 4 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
1.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7
7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
48929
122 123 124 124 125
1.44.08.44.46. 4.11. 0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.
80.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
28
4 4 4 2 6 7 2 4 4 4 1 4 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
9988 838 838 838 84 4 4 4 4 4 7 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
74 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5
Tanuary. Rebruary. May. April. May. October. December May.
DZOSSA PIJERA ESTEDIOS SE PIJERA ESTEDIOS SE PIJERA MOZOS RAMENTA PRO PORTO PO
Satin leaf Rose apple Carambolia
Satin leaf. Rose apple Rose apple Yellow oleander. Chinese orange Chinese orange
Satin leaf. Rose apple Vest Indian medl Carambola Yellow oleander Chinese orange Guava

¹ This figure represents parasitism by the melon fly parasite Opius fletcheri Silvestri,

TABLE 3.—Percentage of parasitism of larvae of the Mediterranean fruit fly in Hawaii in 1922, 1923, and 1924, for each host fruit for the

Ta		Numb	Number of	larvae					Perc	Percentage of parasitism by—	of par	asitism	ph—						
Host fruit	Month	secol day	emerging second to day	during o sixth	Opi	Opius humilis	illis	Di	Diachasma tryoni		Dig	Diachasma fullawayi	e	Tetr	Tetrastichus giffardianus	25 80		Total	
		1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924
Orange	January. February. March. April.	101 101 31 86	115 57 101 145	20	2.3	3.5	0 2 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9.3	5.3	14.3	1.2	6.7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	6.7 7.9 7.9 13.8	38.1	1	13.4 10.6 17.9	52.4
	June July August	74 42 66	12		1.4	1 1 1	1 1 1	8.2.4. 1.4.7.			2.4	1 1 1		6.8 48.5	1 1 1	1 1 1	16.3 38.1 62.1		1 1 10
250	September October November	29	41 243	885			6.0 0.1 0.1	4.6	8.6	0.4.8. 0.0.8.	1.1	3.3	2.0	23.0	39.0	23.2	-	43.8	35.3 57.4
Tangerine	December January February May	383128			2.7			15.0 21.6 34.9	22. 2		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				1.6		20.0 22.3 32.3 35.7	23.8	
i de	AugustSeptemberOctober		66	388	8 1 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 8 1 8 8 1 8 8 8 8 8 8	4.3	1	5.4	1.4	1 1 1				5.4	1.4	1 1 1 1	0.6	4.3
Lime	December May August October	71	1	19	1.4			22. 5		5.3					1 1 1	10.0	23.9		5.3
Noronhia emarginata	December July August	111	9 0					1.7	0.6		9.1			1.7.	22.2		9.6.	22.2	
Peach Peach White sapote	May February May June	8 1 8	76		25.0 18.2 16.7		6 9 2 1 2 0 1 2 2 2 0 1 3 4 2 0 1 5 6 1 1 6 1 5 5	5.6	52.6		5.6				1.3		25.0 18.2 27.9	53.9	
Grapefruit	October June July	\$ 5 E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	1 1 1	33 22 22				1 1 1	1 1 1	6.7		1 1 1	1 1 1			0.7		1 1 1	10.4

The coffee berry is another fruit having a very thin pulp and large seed. It is very seldom that T. giffardianus is reared from maggots in this fruit, but the parasitism by the opine parasites is very high. The 11.1 per cent parasitism in October, 1924, shown in footnote 1 of Table 3, was the work of the melon fly parasite Opius fletcheri Silvestri. This parasite is occasionally reared from maggots of the Mediterranean fruit fly, but the high parasitism recorded during the month mentioned is very unusual. It was probably due to the fact that all of the coffee containing the maggots from which it was reared were collected in the Kona coffee fields on the island of Hawaii. Around these fields the Chinese cucumber Momordica sp. grows wild and is very abundant. The fruits of this plant are attacked freely by the melon fly, Bactrocera cucurbitae Coq., the maggots of which in turn are highly parasitized, resulting in an abundance of O. fletcheri about the coffee fields.

The mango is an example of a fleshy fruit with a comparatively tough skin which does not break easily when the fruit falls. The percentage of parasitism in this fruit by either the opine parasites or the

chalcid T. giffardianus is not high.

The guava, which is very abundant about Honolulu, has a very thin skin and a deep pulp. The majority of maggots are beyond the reach of opiine parasites, and this results in a very low degree of parasitism by them. The thin tender skin of this fruit breaks easily when the fruit falls to the ground or is often punctured by birds or by coming in contact with some part of the tree. Consequently, T. giffardianus is afforded an unusual opportunity to enter this fruit, and the parasitism by this species is in almost every instance higher than that by any of the opiine parasites. In a number of instances it was higher than the combined parasitism of all three species of opiines. These are mentioned as examples of the influence exerted by the structure of the infested fruits upon the effectiveness of the fruit-fly parasites, and this influence can be observed by reviewing the records in the table of the parasitism in other fruits.

Table 3 shows that all four species of parasites will attack their host in most of the fruits under observation. Though the structure of the infested fruit controls to a large extent the parasitism by *D. fullawayi*, the degree of its effectiveness in some fruits can not be attributed to this cause. This parasite in the majority of host fruits is comparatively ineffective, whereas in coffee and yellow oleander its effectiveness is greater than that of either of the other two opine parasites. This may be an indication of some preference on the part of this

parasite for maggots in certain fruits.

Table 4 records the effectiveness of each species of parasite in all fruits collected during each month of the three years. O. humilis was first in effectiveness during three months in 1922, during no month in 1923, and during five months in 1924. Diachasma tryoni was the most effective during seven months in each of the years 1922 and 1923, and during five months in 1924. D. fullawayi was the most effective during only one month of the 3-year period under consideration, namely, in December, 1924. T. giffardianus was the most effective parasite during two months in 1922, five months in 1923, and one month in 1924.

Table 4.—Total parasitism of larvae of the Mediterranean fruit fly from all fruits collected in Hawaii in 1922, 1923, and 1924 (averaged by months)

							Perc	entag	ge of	para	sitisr	n by	-					
Month	Num	ber of l	arvae		Opiu umi			acha tryo			achas llaws			rasti ardia	ehus inus	siti	tal p sm (cent)	per
	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924	1922	1923	1924
January February March April May June July August September October November December	952 458 798 2, 617 9, 877 7, 176 2, 261 9, 525 8, 984 8, 367 4, 697 2, 850	596 1, 469 4, 264 1, 732 1, 925 2, 749 9, 236 7, 512 3, 257	2, 642 4, 530 961 2, 117 1, 175 1, 758 4, 003 2, 354 2, 959 387	10. 5 12. 8 16. 0 14. 2 1. 9 1. 0 1. 8 1. 9 2. 3	.3 3.3 3.4 .9 .4 2.7 6.1 5.8	26. 2 25. 9 28. 7 15. 9 8. 3 5. 9 17. 2 16. 9	7. 0 9. 6 15. 0 39. 3 48. 7 13. 7 20. 4 21. 4 58. 0 37. 6	8. 7 12. 1 17. 5 21. 2 12. 9 7. 1 12. 7 4. 5 24. 4		1. 5 2. 0 . 9 . 5 3. 6 5. 4 3. 1 1. 7 . 3 9. 5	.5 .9 .5 1.2 1.1 4.7 1.0 1.5 1.0 5.9	1. 4 7. 1 . 5 . 3 . 1 . 2 1. 6 15. 8	9. 4 7. 9 6. 0 1. 2 4. 0 15. 4 6. 8 6. 1 5. 8 5. 4	8. 6 11. 3 19. 3 51. 4 60. 2	2. 9 2. 9 . 6 . 2 . 7 . 9 2. 0 9. 9 5. 9 20. 4	28. 4 32. 3 37. 9 55. 2 57. 5 36. 4 31. 3 31. 0 66. 0 54. 8	37. 2 15. 1 24. 5 25. 1 34. 0 23. 5 23. 5 35. 7 66. 2 71. 5 63. 0 38. 8	44. 6 58. 6 37. 7 21. 8 14. 7 52. 1 33. 8 52. 4 45. 6 62. 3

^{1 0.7} per cent of the parasitism shown in this total was accomplished by Opius fletcheri.

O. humilis did its most effective work during the early months of the year. This can be attributed to the control of O. humilis by either species of Diachasma when it occurs in the same host maggot with them. It has been shown in a previous publication (5) that O. humilis is always eliminated under these conditions. Both species of Diachasma have been shown (7, p. 430) to hibernate to a considerable extent during the cooler months of the year, whereas O. humilis does not show this tendency. Consequently, with the advent of the cooler months, which begin about December, D. tryoni and D. fullawayi become less effective and do not retard the development of O. humilis to so great an extent as during the warmer months. The increase in the effectiveness for O. humilis begins at about that time.

The unusually high percentage of parasitism accomplished by *T. giffardianus* during the latter part of 1923 is due to the fact already mentioned that the records included larvae contained in fruits of Indian almond collected in one locality where the parasitism was abnormal. Should these records be discarded the parasitism by

T. giffardianus would be less than half the figures shown.

The records in Table 5 are given to show the effectiveness of each species of parasite and the combined effectiveness of all four species since their establishment in 1915. In 1915, Opius humilis was responsible for the greater part of the total of 37.9 per cent of the parasitism. From that time its effectiveness gradually decreased until a minimum of 4.1 per cent was reached, in 1923. During 1924, however, it parasitized 14.5 per cent of all the larvae under observation and was more effective during that year than for any yearly period since 1916. Diachasma tryoni was less effective in 1923 than in any year since 1915. This parasite is usually the most effective of any of the four species. It has shown a fairly uniform amount of parasitism since it became well established in 1916. In 1923, as has been noted, Tetrastichus giffardianus was the most effective over a yearly period for the first time since its introduction.

Table 5.—Total parasitism of all larvae of the Mediterranean fruit fly collected in Hawaii from 1915 to 1924, inclusive

			Percentage	of parasiti	sm by—	
Year	Number of larvae	Opius humilis	Diachas- ma tryoni	Diachas- ma ful- lawayi	Tetras- tichus giffar- dianus	Total
915 916	28, 010 83, 304	31. 5 17. 2	0.3 13.3 20.3	5. 9 2. 1 7. 3	0. 2 . 6 7. 2	37. 33. 347.
117 118 119	63, 480	12. 7 12. 4 9. 4	34. 6 19. 6	2. 6 1. 6	6. 2 7. 6	55. 38.
920	57, 406 88, 616	9. 4 7. 6	22. 7 26. 9	12. 1 5. 5	7. 7 16. 4	51. 56.
922 923 924		4.6 4.1 14.5	33. 7 12. 2 20. 7	2. 5 1. 6 6. 8	6. 4 25. 3 3. 1	47. 43. 45.

The total parasitism by all species during the 3-year period covered by this report was comparatively uniform, ranging from 43.2 per cent to 47.2 per cent. During the three previous years, the total parasitism ranged from 38.2 per cent to 56.4 per cent. A comparison of the average parasitism during the two 3-year periods shows that it was nearly the same, although it was a little higher during the period 1919-1921.

SUMMARY

The Mediterranean fruit fly, since it was discovered in Hawaii in 1910, has been a major fruit pest in all parts of the Territory. Of the various methods employed in different parts of the world to control this pest, the use of introduced parasites is the only one which has been found adapted to the peculiar horticultural conditions of Hawaii. In 1913 and 1914 three braconids, Opius humilis, Diachasma tryoni, and Diachasma fullawayi, and one chalcid, Tetrastichus giffardianus, were introduced and established by the Territorial government. This circular records the effectiveness of these parasites during the 3-year period 1922 to 1924, inclusive, and is a continuation of similar records made since 1914.

The infestation of fruits by the fly during the three years under consideration was less in the majority of hosts than the average infestation per fruit over the 9-year period 1916 to 1924, inclusive. The decrease in infestation of host fruits indicates a decrease in the abund-

ance of adult flies.

Parasitism by *Opius humilis* reached its minimum, 4.1 per cent, in 1923, owing probably to the effect upon it of the two species of Diachasma. In 1924 the parasitism (14.5 per cent) by this species was greater than for any year since 1916. Owing to the hibernation habits of *D. tryoni* during the cooler months of the year, the effectiveness of this parasite decreases during those months and this is accompanied by a corresponding increase in effectiveness by *O. humilis*.

The work of these four parasites during the three years under consideration has not varied to any great extent from that of the previous six years. They continued to parasitize nearly 50 per cent of the larvae about Honolulu, causing a corresponding decrease in infesta-

tion of the commercial hosts.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Back, E. A., and Pemberton, C. E.
 1915. Parasitism among the Larvae of the mediterranean fruit fly
 (C. Capitala) in Hawaii During 1914. Hawaii Bd. Commrs. Agr.
- and Forestry [Bien.] Rpt. 1913–14: 153–161.

 (2) —— and Pemberton, C. E.

 1916. Parasitism among the Larvae of the mediterranean fruit fly
 (C. Capitata) in Hawaii during 1915. Jour. Econ. Ent. 9: 306–311.
- (3) —— and Pemberton, C. E.
 1918. THE MEDITERRANEAN FRUIT FLY IN HAWAII. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul.
 536, 119 p., illus.
- 536, 119 p., illus.

 (4) PEMBERTON, C. E., and WILLARD, H. F.

 1918. FRUIT-FLY PARASITISM IN HAWAH DURING 1916. Jour. Agr. Research

 12: 103-108.
- (5) and Willard, H. F.
 1918. Interrelations of fruit-fly parasites in Hawaii. Jour. Agr. Research 12: 285–296, illus.
 (6) and Willard, H. F.
- 1918. WORK AND PARASITISM OF THE MEDITERRANEAN FRUIT FLY IN HAWAII DURING 1917. Jour. Agr. Research 14: 605-610.
- 1918. A CONTRIBUTION TO THE BIOLOGY OF FRUIT-FLY PARASITES IN HAWAII. Jour. Agr. Research 15: 419-465, illus.

 (8) WILLARD, H. F.
- 1920. WORK AND PARASITISM OF THE MEDITERRANEAN FRUIT FLY IN
 HAWAII DURING 1918. Jour. Agr. Research 18: 441-446.
- 1923. WORK AND PARASITISM OF THE MEDITERRANEAN FRUIT FLY IN HAWAII DURING 1919 AND 1920. Jour. Agr. Research 25: 1–7.
- (10) —— and Bissell, T. L.

 1926. Work and parasitism of the mediterranean fruit fly in hawaii in 1921. Jour. Agr. Research 33: 9-15.